

Ø

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,394	06/02/2005	Francois Romagne	INN-123	8478
23557 7590 12/19/2007 SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION			EXAMINER	
			TEALE, MICHAEL J	
PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	12/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/537,394	ROMAGNE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael J. Teale	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u>	<u>une 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	±x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 80-98 and 100-103 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	• •				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>80-98 and 100-103</u> are subject to res	triction and/or election req	uirement.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to define or b) objected to define or b) objected to define or b) objected to b) objected	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Upon reconsideration of the amended claims, the previous restriction requirement 8/14/2007 is hereby vacated in lieu of the following restriction requirement. Applicant is notified that the following restriction requirement hereby supersedes the previous requirement of 8/14/2007.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 80-98, and 100-103 are drawn to disease associated with cancer and solid tumors.

Group II, claims 80-87, 90-98, 100-101, and 103 are drawn to disease associated with infectious organisms.

Group III, claims 80-87, 90-98, 100-101, and 103 are drawn to disease associated with autoimmunity and allergies.

The inventions listed in Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2; they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all groups is a

Art Unit: 1614

composition containing a $\gamma\delta$ -cell activator. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art. In Espinosa *et al.*, teaches compositions containing a $\gamma\delta$ -cell activator enabling immuno-stimulation of $\gamma\delta$ -T-lymphocytes (see abstract).

The inventions listed in Groups I to III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is a method of treating cancer and solid tumors, which contains disease states not present in Groups II or III. The special technical feature of Group II is a method of treating disease associated with infectious organisms, which contains disease states not present in Groups I, or III. The special technical feature of Group III is a method of treating disease associated with autoimmunity and allergies, which contains disease states not present in Groups I or II. The disease states set forth in the claims, cancers and solid tumors, infectious diseases, autoimmune diseases and allergic diseases constitute an independent and distinct inventions, and an undue burden would be placed on the Examiner with respect to the examination of each of these disease states (as well as the various specific diseases or disorders associated with such disease states), particularly since the pathologies and etiologies of the disease states differ in operation and presentation in a clinical setting.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Furthermore the examiner may find if necessary to further restrict the elected invention once depending on applicant's election and the state of the associated art.

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: a .gamma.delta. cell activator of formula I or II,

Application/Control Number: 10/537,394

Art Unit: 1614

Species B: a .gamma.delta. cell activator of formula I or II, plus Interleukin-2 polypeptide, Disease,

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species by:

Should applicant elect any of groups I, II, or III, applicant is to elect Species A or Species B: where applicant elects Species A or Species B applicant is to define each and every variable from formula I or formula II such that a single disclosed species of compound is elected; where applicant elects Species B applicant is required to elect a disease and where said disease is a genus applicant is also required to elect a specific member of the disease genus (for example in claim 80: infectious diseases is a genus and HIV would be a species of this extremely broad genus), to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is advised that in electing a Species B when electing a disease, applicant should careful not to elect a disease that is outside the scope of the elected Group I, II, or III.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/537,394

Art Unit: 1614

All claims depend from claim 80 and thus Species A, B, and Disease correspond to all claims.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 80-98, and 100-103

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Furthermore the examiner may find if necessary to further restrict the elected invention once depending on applicant's election and the state of the associated art.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/537,394 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Teale Ph.D. whose telephone number is (517)-272-6897. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 4:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571)-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Vancel 12/17/07